# DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	FCC 94-100
Implementation of Sections 3(n) and 332 of the Communications Act	GN Docket No. 93-252
Regulatory Treatment of Mobile Services	RECEIVED
To: The Commission	Firm 1 1 1994

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF SECRETARY

## REPLY COMMENTS OF THE RURAL CELLULAR ASSOCIATION

The Rural Cellular Association ("RCA"), by its attorneys and pursuant to Section 1.415 of the Commission's Rules, submits the following reply comments in response to the comments filed in the Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("FNPRM") in the above-captioned proceeding.

Over fifty parties, including the RCA, filed comments in response to the FNPRM on June 20, 1994. The RCA replies to those comments with respect to two issues. First, the RCA supports those commenters who believe that no spectrum caps should be imposed on Commercial Mobile Radio Service ("CMRS") licensees. Second, the RCA rearticulates its position that spectrum caps, if adopted, should not be applied to cellular and other CMRS providers affiliated with rural telephone companies. In support whereof, the following is respectively shown:

No. of Copies rec'd_	0+4
List A B C D E	

#### I. ADOPTION OF A GENERAL CMRS SPECTRUM CAP IS UNSUPPORTED

The majority of commenters addressing the issue of a generic CMRS spectrum cap in this proceeding are opposed to the concept. Several other commenters have suggested that any form of spectrum cap should only be adopted on a service by service basis. Other commenters have suggested that CMRS spectrum caps are only appropriate for broadband services. Finally, a handful of commenters support spectrum caps as long as the aggregation limit is modified.

The RCA agrees with those commenters who oppose the application of a uniform spectrum cap for CMRS. The Commission's

See Comments of GTE Service Corporation ("GTE") pp. 17-23; Comments of Comcast Corporation ("Comcast") pp. 1-13; Comments of Motorola, Inc. ("Motorola") pp. 1-13; Comments of Roseville Telephone Company ("Roseville") pp. 1-7; Comments of Pagemart, Inc. ("Pagemart") pp. 3-10; Comments of NYNEX Corporation ("NYNEX") pp. 1-3; Comments of Century Cellunet, Inc. ("Century") pp. 1-4; Comments of BellSouth ("BellSouth") pp. 6-12; Comments of Dial 2-3; Comments of Cellular ("Dial Page") pp. Inc. Telecommunications Industry Association ("CTIA") p. 9; Comments of OneComm Corporation ("OneComm") pp. 7-11; Comments of Metrocall, Inc. ("Metrocall") pp. 21-22; Comments of Network USA ("Network USA") pp. 21-22; Comments of Celpage Inc. ("CelPage") pp. 21-22; Comments of RAM Technologies, Inc. ("RAM") pp. 20-21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> <u>See</u> GTE pp. 18-19; Comments of Sprint Corporation ("Sprint") pp. 2-5; Comments of Brown & Schwaninger ("B&S") p. 16; Comments of Southwestern Bell Corporation ("SWB") pp. 4-9; OneComm pp. 9-10; and Motorola p. 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> <u>See</u> Comments of Paging Network, Inc. ("PageNet") pp. 48-49; and Comments of RAM Mobile Data USA Limited Partnership ("RMD") pp. 14-15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> <u>See</u> Comments of Vanguard Cellular Systems, Inc. ("Vanguard") pp. 11-14 (advocates raising cap from 40 MHz to 50 MHz); Comments of The Southern Company ("Southern") pp. 14-19 (advocates reducing the 40 MHz spectrum cap for wide area SMR licensees so that scale economies are recognized in this frequency re-use environment).

assumptions regarding the CMRS marketplace and its anticipated development do not reflect the varied nature of CMRS. Furthermore, there has been no evidence proffered by the Commission nor any of the commenting parties indicating that all CMRS providers pose similar and significant anti-competitive risks when they hold more than 40 MHz of spectrum in a given geographic service area. An across-the-board CMRS cap at this juncture is premature and unwise and would inhibit competitive innovative new services rather than support them. Accordingly, the RCA urges the Commission not to adopt a generic CMRS spectrum cap.

# II. IF ADOPTED, SPECTRUM CAPS SHOULD NOT APPLY TO RURAL TELEPHONE COMPANIES

The Commission specifically sought comment the applicability of its proposed spectrum cap to designated entities which includes rural telephone companies, small businesses, minorities and women. See FNPRM at para. 103. Several commenters support exempting designated entities from spectrum aggregation Only one commenter, American Personal Communications ("APC"), opposed exemptions for designated entities. APC stated that it is not "appropriate for the Commission to adopt across-theboard exceptions to these standards for designated entities, to the extent such exceptions are appropriate at all." (Comments of APC Without any supporting evidence, APC argues that 3). "different services may require exceptions of different scope to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> <u>See</u> Metrocall at p. 22; Network USA p. 22; Celpage p. 22; RAM p. 21.

facilitate the full participation of designated entities in those services; some services may lend themselves to such an exception while others may not. Any such exceptions, then, should be considered only in the course of service-specific rule making proceedings." Id. The RCA vehemently disagrees. The proposed spectrum cap, if adopted, will unnecessarily inhibit the provision of radio-based telecommunications services to rural America. With respect to rural telephone companies, there is no need to adopt exception rules on a service-specific basis because the only likely provider of CMRS in rural areas will be rural telephone companies. Therefore, it is more appropriate for the Commission to adopt a generic rule that will consistently exempt rural telephone companies from the spectrum cap.

As advocated by the RCA in its Comments in this proceeding, placing a spectrum cap on rural telephone companies would violate the congressional directive set forth in the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993 ("Budget Act") which requires the FCC to adopt rules that ensure that spectrum is awarded in a manner that promotes the provision of service to rural America and the participation by rural telephone companies in the provision of that service. The application of such a cap would, by limiting the amount of spectrum which could be held by rural telephone companies, not only limit such companies' participation in the provision of new services, but, because such companies are historically the only entities which have provided service to rural America, would also prevent residents and businesses located in

rural America from obtaining the benefits of new service offerings.

If a spectrum cap is adopted, the RCA urges the Commission to completely exempt rural telephone companies from its application. Merely raising the cellular ownership attribution level from 20 percent to 40 percent as was done in the PCS rulemaking proceeding does not solve the problem. Many rural telephone companies hold more than a 40 percent ownership interest in the cellular licenses within their rural cellular areas. Limiting rural telephone companies with cellular interests to an additional 15 MHz of all other CMRS spectrum would mean that many CMRS services will not be able to be provided to rural America by the only likely provider of such services.

Surely, the Commission's positive experience with the rapid and efficient provision of rural cellular radio service by rural telephone companies confirms the validity of awarding special consideration with respect to the spectrum cap issue. The RCA implores the Commission to consider that to the extent that the proposed spectrum aggregation limits may produce any public interest benefit, any such benefit will be outweighed by the detriment which would result from the application of the restrictions to rural telephone companies.

<sup>&</sup>quot;In the Matter of Amendment of the Commission's Rules to Establish New Personal Communications Services, Memorandum Opinion & Order," General Docket No. 90-314 (released June 13, 1994) ("MO&O").

#### III. CONCLUSION

In sum, entities which qualify as "rural telephone companies" should not be frustrated in their attempt to continue their commitment to bring new technologies to rural America simply because of their prior record of fulfillment of their commitment to rural America. The Commission's proposed CMRS spectrum cap, by limiting rural telephone company participation, would severely limit the provision of CMRS services to rural America. Therefore, the RCA beseeches the Commission not to adopt rules that will deny residents and businesses located in rural America the benefits of new technologies merely by virtue of their location.

Respectfully submitted,

RURAL CELLULAR ASSOCIATION

By:

Caressa D. Bennet, Regulatory Counsel

2120 L Street, NW Suite 810 Washington, DC 20037 (202) 331-4010

July 11, 1994

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Caressa D. Bennet, certify that on July 11, 1994, I caused a copy of the foregoing "Reply Comments of the Rural Cellular Association" to be served by first-class mail, postage prepaid to the following:

Chairman Reed Hundt \*
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Room 814
Washington, DC 20554

Commissioner Andrew C. Barrett \*
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Room 826
Washington, DC 20554

Commissioner Rachelle Chong \*
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Room 844
Washington, DC 20554

Commissioner Susan Ness \*
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Room 832
Washington, DC 20554

Commissioner James H. Quello\* Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 802 Washington, DC 20554

Karen Brinkmann, Special Assistant \*
Office of Chairman Reed Hundt
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Room 814
Washington, D.C. 20554

Rudolfo M. Baca, Acting Legal Advisor \*
Office of Commissioner James H. Quello
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Room 802
Washington, DC 20554

Byron F. Marchant, Senior Legal Advisor \*
Office of Commissioner Andrew C. Barrett
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Room 826
Washington, DC 20554

Richard K. Welch, Legal Advisor \*
Office of Commissioner Chong
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Room 844
Washington, DC 20554

Mr. John Cimko, Jr., Chief \*
Mobile Services Division
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Room 644
Washington, DC 20554

Gregory J. Vogt, Legal Advisor \*
Office of Commissioner Ness
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Room 832
Washington, DC 20554

William E. Kennard, General Counsel \*
Office of General Counsel
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Room 614
Washington, DC 20554

Mr. Donald Gips, Deputy Chief \*
Office of Plans and Policy
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Room 822
Washington, DC 20554

Ralph Haller, Chief \*
Private Radio Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, NW, Room 5002
Washington, DC 20554

Mr. Richard J. Shiben, Chief \*
Land Mobile and Microwave Division
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, NW, Room 5202-1700A
Washington, DC 20554

Kent Nilsson, Chief \*
Accounting & Audits Division
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, NW, Suite 812
Washington, DC 20554

Kathleen O'Brien Ham, Esq.\*
Land Mobile and Microwave Division
Private Radio Bureau
2025 M Street, NW Room 8002
Washington, DC 20554

David Furth, Esq.\*
Land Mobile and Microwave Division
Private Radio Bureau
2025 M Street, NW Room 8002
Washington, DC 20554

Nancy Boocker, Esq.\*
Mobile Servicess Division
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW Room 518
Washington, DC 20054

International Transcription Services \*
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Room 246
Washington, DC 20554

Paul J. Feldman, Esq.
Fletcher, Heald & Hidreth
11th Floor, 1300 North 17th Street
Rosslyn, VA 22209
Counsel for Roseville Telephone Company

Michael R. Carper, Vice President 7 General Counsel OneComm Corporation 4643 Ulster Street, Suite 500 Denver, CO 80237

Gerald S. MGowan, Esq.
George L. Lyon, Jr., Esq.
Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez
1819 H Street, NW Seventh Floor
Washington, DC 20006
Counsel for Dial Page, Inc.

Carole C. Harris, Esq.
Christine M. Gill, Esq.
Marc Brejka, Esq.
Barry J. Ohlson, Esq.
Keller & Heckman
1001 G Street, NW Suite 500W
Washington, DC 20001
Counsel for The Southern Company

J. Barclay Jones Vice President for Engineering American Personal Communications 1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036

Dennis Brown, Esq.
Robert H. Schwaninger, Jr.
Brown & Schwaninger
1835 K Street, NW Suite 650
Washington, DC 20006

American Mobile Telecommunications Association, Inc. Alan R. Shark, President 1835 K Street, NW, Suite 203

Washington, DC 20006

# MCI Telecommunications Corporation

Larry Blosser Donald J. Elardo 1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20006

Nextel Communications, Inc.

Robert S. Foosner, Sr. Vice President of Government Affairs Lawrence R. Krevor 601 13th Street, NW Suite 1110 South Washington, DC 20005

#### Southwestern Bell Corporation

James D. Ellis William J. Free Paula J. Fulks 175 E. Houston, Rm. 1218 San Antonio, TX 78205

#### United States Telephone Association

Martin T. McCue, Vice President & General Counsel Linda Kent, Associate General Counsel 900 19th Street, NW, Suite 800 Washington, DC 20006

Vanguard Cellular Systems, Inc.

Raymond G. Bender, Jr.
Michael D. Basile
Steven F. Morris
Dow Lohnes & Albertson
1255 23rd Street, NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20037

# Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association

Michael F. Altschul Two Lafayette Centre, Third Floor 1133 21st Street, NW Washington, DC 20036

#### McCaw Cellular

R. Gerard Salemme Sr, Vice President of Federal Affairs Cathleen A. Massey Senior Regulatory Counsel 1150 Connecticut Avenue, NW 4th Floor Washington, DC 20036

#### Telocator

Thomas A. Stroup Mark Golden 1019 19th Street, NW Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20036

#### Motorola, Inc.

Michael D. Kennedy, Director Mary Brooner, Manager Regulatory Relations 1350 I Street, NW Washington, DC 20005

# National Telephone Cooperative Association

David Cosson
L. Marie Guillory
2626 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20037

#### Bell Atlantic Companies

John T. Scott, III Crowell & Moring 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004

# State of New York Department of Public Service

Penny Rubin, Assistant Counsel Three Empire State Plaza, Albany, NY 12223 Albany, NY 12223

#### Mobile Telecommunications Technologies Corp.

Thomas Gutierrez
J. Justin McClure
Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez
1919 H Street, NW, Suite 700
Washington, DC 20006

## Century Cellunet

Bruce Hanks, President 100 Century Park Avenue Monroe, LA 71203

#### North Pittsburgh Telephone Company

G.A. Gorman, President and General Manager 4008 Gibsonia Road Gibsonia, PA 15044-9311

#### Personal Radio Steering Group, Inc.

Corwin D. Moore, Jr. Administrative Coordinator PO Box 2851 Ann Harbor, MI 48106

### Reed Smith Shaw & McClay

Judith St. Ledger-Roty J. Laurent Scharff Matthew J. Harthun 1200 18th Street, NW Washington, DC 20036

## Roamer One, Inc.

William J. Franklin 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20006

#### Pagemart

Phillip L. Spector Susan E. Ryan Paul Weiss Rifkind Wharton & Garrison 1615 L Street, NW Suite 1300 Washington, DC 20036

#### AMSC Subsidiary Corporation

Lon C. Levin 10802 Park Ridge Boulevard Reston, VA 22091

#### Cencall Communications Corporation

Randall B. Lowe
Mary E. Brennan
Jones Day Reavis & Pogue
1450 G Street, NW
Washington, CD 20005

#### Cox Enterprises

Werner K. Hartenberger Laura H. Phillips Dow Lohnes & Albertson 1255 23rd street, Suite 500 Washington, DC 20037

#### National Cellular Resellers Association

Joel H. Levy
Cohn & Marks
1333 New Hampshire Avenue, NW
Suite 600
Washington, DC 20036

#### Industrial Telecommunications Association, Inc.

Mark E. Crosby Frederick J. Day 1110 N. Glebe Road, Suite 500 Arlington, VA 22201-5720

#### NABER

David E. Weisman Alan S. Tilles Meyer Faller Weisman & Rosenberg 4400 Jennifer Street, NW, Ste. 830 Washington, DC 20015

# Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia Daryl L. Avery, General Counsel Peter G. Wolfe 450 Fifth Street, NW Washington, DC 20001

Public Utilities Commission of the State of California Peter Arth, Jr. Edward W. O'Neil Ellen S. Levine, Staff counsel 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

# Rochester Telephone Corp.

Michael J. Shortley 180 South Clinton Ave. Rochester, NY 14646

# GTE Telephone Corp. and affiliated domestic GTE Telephone Operating Cos.

1850 M Street, NW Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036

# U.S. West, Inc.

Lawrence E. Sarjeant Dana A. Rasmussen 1020 19th Street, NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036 General Communications, Inc.
Kathy L. Shobert
Director, Federal Regulatory Affairs
888 16th Street, NW, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20006

Thomas J. Keller
Michael S. Wroblewski
Verner, Liipfert, Bernhard,
McPherson and Hand, Chartered
901 15th Street, NW, Suite 700
Washington, DC 20005
Attorneys for The Association of
American Railroads

#### Pactel Corporation

Brian D. Kidney
Pamela J. Riley
Kathleen Q. Abernathy
2999 Oak Road, MS 1050
Walnut Creek, CA 94596

Gardner, Carton & Douglas 1301 K Street, NW Suite 900, East Tower Washington, DC 20005 Attorneys for The E.F. Johnson Company

Wayne V. Black
Christine M. Gill
Marc Berejka
Keller and Heckman
1001 G Street, NW
Suite 500 West
Washington, DC 20001
Attorneys for American Petroleum Institute

#### Ameritech Services

Anthony M. Alessi 1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 730 Washington, DC 20036

#### NYNEX Corporation

Edward R. Wholl Jacqueline E. Homes Nethersole 120 Bloomington Road White Plains, NY 10604 George Y. Wheeler
Koteen & Naftalin
1150 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20036
Attorneys for Telephone and Data Systems, Inc.

David L. Nace
Pamela L. Gist
Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez
1819 H Street, NW, 7th Floor
Washington, DC 20006
Attorneys for Liberty Cellular

David L. Nace
Pamela L. Gist
Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez
1819 H Street, NW, 7th Floor
Washington, DC 20006
Attorneys for Pacific Telecom Cellular, Inc.

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Helen A. Shockey 4300 Southern Bell Center

675 West Peachtree Street, NE Atlanta, GA 30375

Dow, Lohnes & Albertson 1255 23rd Street, NW Washington, DC 20037 Attorneys for Comcast Corporation

Judith St. Ledger-Roty
James J. Freeman
Michael Wack
Reed, Smith, Shaw & McClay
1200 18th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036
Attorneys for Paging Network, Inc.

Utilities Telecommunications Council Jeffrey L. Sheldon Sean A. Stokes 1140 Connecticut Ave., NW Washington, DC 20036

Bryan Cave 700 13th Street, NW Washington, DC 20005 Attorneys for Pactel Paging Mark A. Stachiw
Pactel Paging
12221 Merit Drive, Suite 800
Dallas. TX 75251
Counsel For Pactel Paging

Sprint Corp.
1850 M Street, NW
11th Floor
Washington, DC 20036

Stuart F. Feldstein
Richard Rubin
Fleischman & Walsh
1400 Sixteenth Street, NW
Suite 600
Washington, DC 20036
Attorneys for Time Warner Telecommunications

Leventhal Senter & Lerman 2000 K Street, NW, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20006 Attorneys for TRW Inc.

Goldberg, Godles, Wiener & Wright 1229 Nineteenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20036 Attorneys for Ram Mobile Data USA L.P.

Arch Communications Group 1800 West Park Drive Suite 250 Westborough, MA 10581

James P. Tuthill
Theresa L. Cabral
Betsy Stover Granger
140 New Montgomery St., Room 1529
San Francisco, CA 94105
Attorneys for Pacific Bell and Nevada Bell

Advanced MobileComm. Technologies
Mr. Harold C. Davis, Chief Technical Officer
82 Devonshire Street, R25D
Boston, MA 02109

Digital Spread Spectrum Technologies Mr. Jimmy K. Omura, Chairman 110 South Wolfe Road Sunnyvale, CA 94086 Grand Broadcasting Corp.
David A. Reams, General Counsel
P.O. Box 502
Perrysburg, OH 43552-0502

Rodney L. Joyce Ginsburg, Feldman & Bress 1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036 Counsel for In-Flight Phone Corp.

Michael Bennet Keller and Heckman 1001 G Street, NW Suite 500 West Washington, DC 20001 Attorney for RIG Telephones

Caressa D. Bennet